



Squeezing Research into the Junior Physics Lab

Dr. Karen Williams, Physics Dept., East Central University, Ada, OK
 kwilliams@ecok.edu

Abstract:

In my Junior Physics laboratory course I give the students an odd real-world problem to solve. It must be something 'off the wall' so that the solution can't be found on the internet. They must design the research and work in groups where each group completes part of the work. Each week they meet to decide what work must be done next by the following group. I feel this mimics real world research in industry and forces teamwork and planning. After this project I have the students choose a project of their own to work on. They prepare a powerpoint presentation of this research for their final exam. Often this research can be polished and expanded into a project that can be presented at research symposiums. Student reaction to this new way of 'doing lab' by doing research is positive. Many of our students are first generation college students who know very little about research. They seem more at ease when it comes to applying for REU's after doing some research.

Why Research in Lab?

When the department revised our assessment plan we looked at what skills AIP thought was important for physics graduates to acquire. We decided teamwork, communication and lab design were going to be assessed in the Jr. Lab final project and in a project the student chose to do. Student lab reports had already been assessed using the lab and teamwork rubric to prepare them for the big project.

Assigned and Free Project

1. You are hired to do some consulting work for a new doggie bungee jumping business called *Woof-Woof Bungee*. You are to do research on the bungee cords supplied. The owner flunked physics and needs you to tell him the force constant(s) of the bungee cords (he heard they may not be uniform over varying ranges), to suggest which cords may be used with what weight ranges of dogs, the height of the bridge the dog must jump and the distance the cord stretches for these ranges of weights of dogs since some dogs are heavy and tall, others are light and tall. Animal rights people will shut down the business if dogs are injured. So you are to simulate a one and two bungee cord harness using weights instead of dogs. Since dogs go blind for forces over 2.5 g's, you must ensure that the jumps create 2.5 g's or less. He also is interested in the damping time of the jump so he can decide how much to charge and how many dogs he can jump in so many minutes. So find the time for the oscillation to damp for each weight of dog.
2. Students then chose a research project of their own.

References:

1. <http://aip.org/statistics/physics-trends/skills-used-regularly>
2. <http://www2.uwstout.edu/content/profdev/rubrics/elemteamworkrubric.html>
3. http://bloomu.edu/documents/middlestates/monitoringreport/MSRpt/E_26.pdf
4. Williams, K. (2007). *Junior Physics Laboratory Manual*, 2nd Ed., East Central University Publishing.

Grading Rubrics

INQUIRY AND ANALYSIS VALUE RUBRIC

Inquiry is a systematic process of exploring issues/objectives through the collection and analysis of evidence that leads to informed conclusions/judgments. Analysis is the process of analyzing topics or issues into parts to gain a better understanding of them.

Definition
 Includes an attempt to apply a topic to a work sample or collection of work that has not been used for its development.

Topic selection	Capstone	3	2	1	0
Identifies a creative, focused, and meaningful topic that addresses personally significant or previously unexplored aspects of the topic.	Identifies a focused and meaningful topic that appropriately addresses relevant aspects of the topic.	Identifies a topic that while meaningful, lacks a personal focus and leaves out relevant aspects of the topic.	Identifies a topic that while meaningful, lacks a personal focus and leaves out relevant aspects of the topic.	Identifies a topic that while meaningful, lacks a personal focus and leaves out relevant aspects of the topic.	Identifies a topic that is far too general and lacks enough focus to be meaningful.
Expresses in-depth information from relevant sources representing various points of view/approaches.	Expresses in-depth information from relevant sources representing various points of view/approaches.	Expresses in-depth information from relevant sources representing various points of view/approaches.	Expresses in-depth information from relevant sources representing various points of view/approaches.	Expresses in-depth information from relevant sources representing various points of view/approaches.	Expresses information from relevant sources representing limited points of view/approaches.
UI element of the methodology or historical framework are clearly identified. Appropriate methods/historical frameworks may be performed from some sources or from relevant sub-topics.	UI element of the methodology or historical framework are clearly identified. Appropriate methods/historical frameworks may be performed from some sources or from relevant sub-topics.	UI element of the methodology or historical framework are clearly identified. Appropriate methods/historical frameworks may be performed from some sources or from relevant sub-topics.	UI element of the methodology or historical framework are clearly identified. Appropriate methods/historical frameworks may be performed from some sources or from relevant sub-topics.	UI element of the methodology or historical framework are clearly identified. Appropriate methods/historical frameworks may be performed from some sources or from relevant sub-topics.	UI element of the methodology or historical framework are clearly identified. Appropriate methods/historical frameworks may be performed from some sources or from relevant sub-topics.
Organizes and synthesizes relevant evidence in a logical, coherent, and meaningful way. Includes a clear conclusion that is a logical extrapolation from the inquiry findings.	Organizes and synthesizes relevant evidence in a logical, coherent, and meaningful way. Includes a clear conclusion that is a logical extrapolation from the inquiry findings.	Organizes and synthesizes relevant evidence in a logical, coherent, and meaningful way. Includes a clear conclusion that is a logical extrapolation from the inquiry findings.	Organizes and synthesizes relevant evidence in a logical, coherent, and meaningful way. Includes a clear conclusion that is a logical extrapolation from the inquiry findings.	Organizes and synthesizes relevant evidence in a logical, coherent, and meaningful way. Includes a clear conclusion that is a logical extrapolation from the inquiry findings.	Organizes and synthesizes relevant evidence in a logical, coherent, and meaningful way. Includes a clear conclusion that is a logical extrapolation from the inquiry findings.
Thoughtfully discusses in detail relevant and supported limitations and implications.	Thoughtfully discusses in detail relevant and supported limitations and implications.	Thoughtfully discusses in detail relevant and supported limitations and implications.	Thoughtfully discusses in detail relevant and supported limitations and implications.	Thoughtfully discusses in detail relevant and supported limitations and implications.	Thoughtfully discusses in detail relevant and supported limitations and implications.

Category	Teamwork Rubric			POINTS (56 pts max.)
	Exemplary (3 pts)	Proficient (2 pts)	Somewhat proficient (1 pts)	
I. Focus on the task.	Stays on task all of the time without distractions.	Stays on task most of the time. Group members can count on this person.	Stays on task most of the time. Group members must sometimes remind this person to do the work.	Often leaves group on task. Lacks ability to do the work.
II. Extend to which works together.	A true team member who works hard and helps others in the group.	A strong group member who tries hard.	Sometimes an active group member, but needs to try harder.	Sometimes chooses not to help out, and does not complete tasks.
III. Work meeting habits.	Organizes and prepares to be on time for meetings. Comes to all work which is due.	Organizes and prepares to be on time for meetings. Comes to most work when it is due.	Sometimes late for meetings, often turns in work late.	Late for all or most meetings, and late turning in work.
IV. Completion habits.	Completes assigned tasks and does not depend on others to do the work.	Completes most assigned tasks.	Does not follow through on most tasks and sometimes counts on others to do all of the work.	Does not follow through on most tasks and sometimes counts on others to do all of the work.
V. Attitude/Behavior	Respectful, listens, discusses and asks questions.	Respectfully listens, discusses and asks questions.	Has trouble listening with respect, and takes over discussions without letting other people finish & talk.	Does not listen with respect, argues with teammates, and does not consider other ideas.
VI. Research and Information-sharing	Actively seeks and shares useful ideas for the project.	Actively seeks and shares useful ideas for the project.	Does not actively seek or share useful information and ideas for the project.	Does not actively seek or share useful information and ideas for the project.
VII. Problem-solving	Actively seeks and shares useful ideas for the project.	Actively seeks and shares useful ideas for the project.	Does not actively seek or share useful information and ideas for the project.	Does not actively seek or share useful information and ideas for the project.
VIII. Goal completion	Always has a positive attitude about the tasks and the work of others.	Usually has a positive attitude about the tasks and the work of others.	Sometimes makes fun of the tasks or the work of other group members.	Often makes fun of others' work and has a negative attitude.
IX. Time distribution on task.	Contributed equally to all team members.	Contributed equally to all team members.	Contributed less to all team members.	Contributed less to the group than during the project.
X. Overall participation.	Completed most of the assigned work.	Completed most of the assigned work.	Completed some of the assigned work.	Did not perform any duties of assigned team role and did not contribute knowledge, opinions or skills to those with the team. Relied on others to do the work.
XI. Grade from instructor.				

JUNIOR LAB PEER GRADING SHEETS SP2014 - DO NOT GRADE YOURSELF. .

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Apply	SUM
Can hear presentation	5	4	2	1	3	xxxxxxx
Can see presentation						
Paper given professionally						
Explained well						
Research Complete						
Work Shared						
Overall Pt Score						